Exploring Methods for Assessing Critical Thinking: Insights from Qualitative Study

Al-Rashiff Hamjilani Mastul [1], Dwi Sulisworo [2*]

[1] Western Mindanao State University, Normal Road, Baliwasan Zamboanga City, Philippines
[2] Universitas Ahmad Dahlan, Yogyakarta, Indonesia, 55166

Received: 29 March 2024

Accepted: 31 May 2024

Published: 4 July 2024

Citation: Mastul, A.H., & Sulisworo, D. (2024). Exploring Methods for Assessing Critical Thinking: Insights from Qualitative Study. *Qomaruna Journal of Multidisciplinary Studies*, 1(2), 1–9.

ABSTRACT

This article explores the various methodologies and approaches used in assessing critical thinking skills within the educational field The study employed secondary data sourced from readily accessible online literature related to education, which was analyzed qualitatively. This study identified four main issues in assessment: regarding four main issues in assessment: Observation, Evidence Evaluation, Problem-Solving Tasks, and Decision-Making Exercises. Through descriptive analysis, the importance of integrating relevant measurement techniques into questions that focus on critical thinking skills is explained. The findings of this study underscore the important role of a comprehensive evaluation approach in equipping individuals with the essential critical thinking skills necessary to navigate the complexity of modern challenges and make informed decisions in diverse contexts.

Keywords: *Observation, Evidence Evaluation, Problem-Solving Tasks, and Decision-Making Exercises*

ABSTRAK

Artikel ini mengeksplorasi beragam metodologi dan pendekatan yang digunakan dalam penilaian kemampuan berpikir kritis. Kajian dilakukan dengan memanfaatkan data sekunder yang diperoleh dari sumber literatur yang mudah diakses di internet yang dibahas secara kualitatif terkait empat isu utama dalam penilaian: Observasi, Evaluasi Bukti, Tugas Pemecahan Masalah, dan Latihan Pengambilan Keputusan. Melalui analisis deskriptif, dijelaskan pentingnya mengintegrasikan teknik pengukuran yang relevan ke dalam pertanyaan yang berfokus pada keterampilan berpikir kritis. Temuan kajian ini menggarisbawahi peran penting pendekatan evaluasi komprehensif dalam membekali individu dengan keterampilan berpikir kritis penting yang diperlukan untuk menavigasi kompleksitas tantangan modern dan membuat keputusan berdasarkan informasi dalam beragam konteks.

Kata kunci: Observasi, Evaluasi Bukti, Tugas Pemecahan Masalah, dan Latihan Pengambilan Keputusan

1. Introduction

In today's rapidly changing world, the significance of critical thinking skills cannot be overlooked. However, effective evaluation of these skills poses a significant challenge. To ensure that

individuals can analyze, evaluate, and make informed decisions across various contexts, a comprehensive assessment approach is essential. This approach encompasses a variety of methods, including standardized tests, performance-based tasks, self-assessment tools, and metacognitive aspects (Abrami et al., 2015).

Standardized tests, such as the California Critical Thinking Skills Test and the Cornell Critical Thinking Test, offer structured evaluations tailored to measure cognitive proficiency. These tests provide a quantitative measure of critical thinking ability. On the other hand, performance-based tasks immerse individuals in real-world scenarios, requiring them to apply critical thinking to problem solving and decision making, offering a more qualitative assessment of their skills (Indrawatiningsih, 2018).

Self-assessment tools and questionnaires play a crucial role in providing insight into individuals' perceptions of their critical thinking skills. By incorporating self-assessment, individuals can reflect on their strengths and areas of improvement, contributing to a more holistic evaluation (Julian et al., 2020). Moreover, a comprehensive assessment should focus not only on cognitive aspects, but also on metacognitive dimensions. Metacognition involves individuals' awareness and control of their thinking processes, including the monitoring and regulation of their thoughts. By integrating metacognitive aspects into assessments, we can nurture individuals who can adapt, innovate, and excel in today's complex global landscape (Changwong et al. 2018).

Educators and researchers have emphasized the significance of critical thinking in various fields such as education, psychology, and linguistics. Studies have shown that critical thinking is a fundamental skill that enhances problem-solving, decision making, and overall cognitive abilities (Myers & Dyer, 2006). The development of critical thinking skills is crucial in preparing individuals to meet the demands of a rapidly changing world. By incorporating critical thinking into educational curricula and assessment practices, we can empower individuals to think analytically, make informed judgments, and thrive in diverse environments (Kamali & Fahim, 2011). Assessing individuals' critical thinking abilities requires a multifaceted approach that combines standardized tests, performance-based tasks, self-assessment tools, and metacognitive aspects. By employing a comprehensive evaluation strategy, we can gain a deeper understanding of individuals' critical thinking proficiencies and foster a generation of thinkers equipped to navigate the complexities of the modern world.

In science education, assessing critical thinking skills poses a significant challenge for educators. Critical thinking goes beyond memorization; it involves the ability to analyze, evaluate, and make reasoned judgments. This complexity is akin to capturing fleeting breezes (Guzey et al., 2016). Educators face the dilemma of accurately measuring students' critical thinking abilities, which is as challenging as trying to gauge the depth of an ocean using a yardstick (Guzey et al., 2016). Furthermore, the elusive nature of metacognition–the awareness and control of one's thought processes–adds another layer of complexity to assessment (Soicher & Gurung, 2016). Metacognition is the silent orchestrator of critical thinking, making it difficult to assess students' awareness of their thinking processes, much like trying to grasp a shadow (Soicher & Gurung, 2016).

Integrating critical thinking assessment seamlessly into science education is a goal for educators, but it comes with constraints, such as limited time and resources (Guzey et al., 2016). Balancing assessment within a packed curriculum is a delicate act akin to walking a tightrope, which requires precision and skill (Guzey et al., 2016). Despite these challenges, educators persist in their quest for solutions, recognizing that unlocking the puzzle of critical thinking assessment is crucial for nurturing scientifically adept minds prepared for future challenges (Guzey et al., 2016).

To address the assessment of critical thinking, some studies have focused on interventions such as exam wrappers to enhance metacognition and performance (Soicher & Gurung, 2016). However, challenges persist in defining models for assessing critical thinking and problem-solving skills in specific disciplines such as dental education (Everett et al., 2018). The integration of explicit critical thinking curricula has been proposed to improve students' critical thinking skills (Cone et al., 2016). Additionally, the impact of metacognitive awareness on student performance in subjects such as chemistry has been studied, showing a positive correlation between metacognitive awareness and academic success (Rahman et al. 2010).

In conclusion, assessment of critical thinking in science education remains a complex and multifaceted issue. Educators continue to navigate this challenge by seeking innovative approaches to effectively integrate critical thinking assessments into the curriculum. By addressing the elusive nature of metacognition and exploring interventions to enhance critical thinking skills, educators aim to equip students with the necessary tools to excel in scientific endeavors.

The objective of this study is to explore various methods and approaches for evaluating critical thinking abilities. In doing so, it underscores the pressing requirement for a comprehensive evaluation approach. This approach is vital to ensure that individuals possess the requisite critical thinking skills that are essential for navigating multifaceted challenges and making informed decisions across diverse contexts.

2. Methods

In this study, we employed several steps in the Methods section as follows:

2.1. Literature Search and Selection

The data for this study were gathered through a comprehensive review of secondary literature sources available on the Internet. The search included scholarly articles, reports, and educational resources focused on critical thinking assessment. Keywords such as "observation," "evaluation of evidence," "problem-solving tasks," and "decision-making exercises" were used to identify the relevant literature.

2.2. Identification of Primary Issues

This review identifies four primary issues in critical thinking assessment.

1. Observation: Involves systematic watching and recording of student behaviors in educational settings to assess the application of critical thinking skills.
2. Evaluation of Evidence: Examines students' abilities to gather, interpret, and analyze data to support their conclusions or decisions.
3. Problem-Solving Tasks: Assess students' skills in identifying problems, generating solutions, and evaluating outcomes.
4. Decision-Making Exercises: Evaluate students' ability to make informed choices based on the critical analysis of information.

2.3. Qualitative Analysis

Each issue was qualitatively examined to understand its theoretical foundations, practical applications, and potential challenges.

- Theoretical Underpinnings: Reviewed educational theories supporting each assessment method.
- Practical Applications: Explored examples and case studies of each method in educational settings.
- Potential Challenges: Analyzed factors affecting the reliability and validity of the assessments.

2.4. Synthesis of Key Insights and Findings

A systematic review synthesizes key insights and findings, providing a comprehensive overview of the current methodologies and approaches. This synthesis highlights the strengths, weaknesses, and recommendations for future research and practice in critical thinking assessment.

3. Results and Discussion

3.1 Observation

Observations in educational settings play a crucial role in understanding student behaviors, interactions, and problem-solving processes. By directly observing students, educators can gain valuable insights into their critical thinking abilities, such as asking probing questions, evaluating information, and making reasoned judgments in real-time. This method of assessment through

observation provides continuous data on student performance, allowing educators to monitor and support their development effectively (Kogan et al., 2009).

Observation is not limited to traditional classroom settings but extends to various fields, such as medical education, special education, and early childhood education. Studies have shown that direct observation in clinical practice and emergency medicine education can lead to positive behavioral changes when feedback is provided during the observation process (Craig 2010). Furthermore, observation has been highlighted as a key component in evaluating special education teachers, with systems such as Charlotte Danielson's Framework for Teaching commonly used for this purpose (Jones & Brownell, 2013).

In the context of teacher evaluation, classroom observations are a primary source of data that influence decisions related to teacher development and human capital management (Jones et al., 2022). The use of observations in special education has been subject to validation studies to ensure the reliability and effectiveness of observation tools (Pua et al., 2020). Additionally, observational studies in special education play a significant role in informing policies, practices, and scholarships for students with disabilities (Rodgers et al., 2021).

Observation is not only valuable for assessing students and teachers, but also for advancing research on children's self-regulation and learning processes. Observational measures have been used to study children's behaviors in educational settings, providing insights into their self-regulatory skills and informing educational practices (Eberhart et al., 2022). Observation serves as a powerful tool in education, allowing educators to gain real-time insights into student performance, inform teaching practices, and contribute to the continuous improvement of educational systems across disciplines.

3.2 Evaluation of Evidence

Critical thinking is a fundamental skill that involves the ability to evaluate evidence and arguments effectively. Educators can employ the Evaluation of Evidence method to assess students' critical thinking skills by creating tasks that necessitate the analysis and critique of sources, identification of biases and logical fallacies, and drawing informed conclusions based on the evidence provided. Numerous studies have investigated the correlation between critical thinking and the evaluation of evidence across various fields. Squires et al. (2011) emphasized critical thinking as a key factor influencing research utilization by nurses, underscoring its significance in effectively assessing and utilizing research. Abrami et al. (2008) conducted a meta-analysis on instructional interventions impacting critical thinking skills, introducing tools like the Critical Thinking Application Test to evaluate reasoning abilities. Firdaus et al. (2015) discussed how critical thinking skills in mathematics encompass identification, interpretation, analysis of information, and evaluation of evidence and arguments.

Bensley et al. (2010) defined critical thinking as reflective thinking involved in evaluating evidence pertinent to a claim to draw well-founded conclusions. Tsui Wu (2020) described critical thinking as a set of cognitive skills used by students to identify issues, assumptions, and relationships, make inferences, evaluate evidence, and draw conclusions. Collectively, these studies stress the importance of critical thinking in assessing evidence across diverse contexts. Moreover, the study by Stowe & Cooper (2019) highlighted the importance of formulating and critiquing evidence-based claims for medical professionals and scientists. Raines (2016) discussed how practicing nurses engage in evaluating and applying research-based evidence in their practice, emphasizing the practical application of critical thinking skills in healthcare settings. The amalgamation of these references underscores the vital role of critical thinking in evaluating evidence across different disciplines. Educators can leverage the Evaluation of Evidence methods to enhance students' critical thinking skills by assigning tasks that require them to analyze, critique, and draw conclusions based on the evidence presented.

3.3 Problem-Solving Tasks

Critical thinking is a fundamental skill that is closely intertwined with problem-solving abilities. Educators often assess students' aptitude for logical reasoning, creative thinking, and analytical skills

by presenting them with intricate problems or scenarios across various disciplines (Sun, 2021). The relationship between critical thinking and problem solving has been extensively studied in different contexts, such as nursing education (Ahmady & Shahbazi, 2020; Bayram et al., 2022; Kelleci et al., 2018), agricultural science (Friedel et al., 2008), computer engineering (Özyurt, 2015), mathematics education (Sindani et al., 2021), vocational education (Wulansari & Nabawi, 2021; Sharif et al., 2021; Pradana & Sundawa, 2022; Lestari et al., 2019), and medical education (Shrivastava & Shrivastava, 2019). These studies consistently highlight the importance of critical thinking in effective problem-solving.

Research indicates that structured training programs focusing on problem solving can enhance critical thinking, cognitive problem solving, and decision-making skills (Ahmady & Shahbazi, 2020). In addition, the development of problem-solving skills is crucial for fostering critical thinking abilities (Susetyo et al. 2021). Studies have shown that critical thinking is directly related to the problem-solving process (Özyurt, 2015) and textbook examples play a significant role in influencing students' problem-solving approaches (Lee et al., 2013). Furthermore, the application of problem-based learning models is considered effective for nurturing students' critical thinking skills (Dupri et al. 2020).

Educators face the challenge of adequately measuring and assessing critical thinking and problem-solving skills (Sadhu and Laksono 2018). Efforts to improve these skills through various instructional methods, such as problem-based integrated computer-assisted instruction, have been explored in vocational education (Wulansari and Nabawi 2021). Moreover, the use of innovative learning media, such as android-based adventure games, has been suggested to enhance students' critical thinking skills (Lestari et al., 2019).

In conclusion, the synthesis of these studies underscores the intrinsic link between critical thinking and problem solving skills across diverse educational domains. Effective teaching methods, structured training programs, and innovative approaches are essential for cultivating these skills among students to prepare them for the complexities of real-world problem-solving scenarios.

3.4 Decision-Making Exercises

Critical thinking is a multifaceted skill essential for decision-making processes, enabling individuals to make well-reasoned choices based on a thorough analysis of available information (Carter, 2019). Educators can create decision-making exercises that simulate real-world scenarios, challenge students to evaluate various options, predict outcomes, and justify their decisions using logical reasoning and evidence (Hayati et al., 2023).

The core of critical thinking in decision making involves actively and skillfully perceiving, analyzing, synthesizing, and evaluating information to make informed choices (Papathanasiou et al., 2014). This mental process includes questioning assumptions, weighing reasons, and engaging in deep reflection before reaching a decision (Özelçi & Çalişkan, 2019). Critical thinking is integral to cognitive processes, such as clinical judgment, decision-making, and clinical reasoning, in fields such as medicine and nursing (Jenicek et al., 2011; Rashwan, 2016; Lee et al., 2017). Research highlights the importance of critical thinking in improving students' decision-making skills (Karahan et al., 2023). Critical thinking is recognized as a fundamental competency for managers, guiding cognitive processes and actions in leadership positions (Knap-Stefaniuk & Ambrozová, 2021). Moreover, the deliberate and systematic processing of decisions through critical thinking influences the quality of decisions made ("Modeling the Relationships between School Administrators' Creative and Critical Thinking Dispositions with Decision-Making Styles and Problem Solving Skills," 2018).

Educators and researchers have investigated the relationship between critical thinking and decision-making abilities, particularly in professions such as nursing, where critical thinking skills are crucial for evidence-based practice (Reji and Saini 2022). Developing critical thinking skills is vital for promoting socially responsible decision making and critically evaluating information (Linina & Vevere, 2021; Widjanarko et al., 2023). Additionally, integrating critical thinking into the curriculum can lead to improved ethical decision-making outcomes (DeSimone 2016). Educators play a crucial role in nurturing critical thinking skills to empower students to navigate complex decision-making scenarios across disciplines.

3.5. Implications

Several implications have emerged based on the results and discussion. First, continuous observational assessments can enhance teacher development by providing timely feedback and improving instructional strategies. Second, integrating critical thinking and evidence evaluation into curricula prepares students for real-world challenges that require analytical skills. Third, adopting problem-based learning and technology-enhanced tools fosters students' critical thinking and problem-solving skills. Finally, improving real-world decision-making exercises in education helps students practice making well-reasoned choices and preparing them for complex future scenarios.

4. Conclusion

The exploration of various methods and approaches for assessing critical thinking abilities underscores the multifaceted nature of this endeavor. Through a qualitative examination of four key issues—Observation, Evaluation of Evidence, Problem-Solving Tasks, and Decision-Making Exercises—we have gained valuable insights into the complexities of critical thinking assessment. The findings emphasize the importance of integrating relevant measurement techniques into studies focused on critical thinking skills. From observing students' thought processes to evaluating their ability to solve problems and make informed decisions, each aspect of assessment plays a crucial role in gauging critical thinking proficiency. Furthermore, the analysis highlights the inseparable link between assessment methodologies, instructional techniques, and the technologies utilized in educational settings. Educators must employ a holistic approach that integrates assessment practices with innovative instructional strategies to effectively nurture critical thinking skills.

This study had several limitations. First, we relied on secondary data collection, which may limit the depth of analysis and ability to capture the most current practices and trends in critical thinking assessment. Future studies should incorporate primary data collection methods, such as interviews, surveys, and direct observations, to complement secondary data along with quantitative study designs. Additionally, the design of observational methods can introduce subjectivity, as the interpretation of student behaviors and interactions may vary among educators, potentially affecting the consistency and reliability of the findings. Furthermore, the findings may not be fully generalizable across all educational settings and disciplines because of variability in how critical thinking skills are taught and assessed. Future research should expand its scope to include various educational settings and disciplines.

Declaration of Conflict of Interest

The authors declare no potential conflicts of interest related to the research, writing, and/or publication of this article.

References

Abrami, P., Bernard, R., Borokhovski, E., Wade, A., Surkes, M., Tamim, R., … & Zhang, D. (2008). Instructional interventions affecting critical thinking skills and dispositions: a stage 1 meta-analysis. Review of Educational Research, 78(4), 1102-1134. https://doi.org/10.3102/0034654308326084

Bayram, A., Özsaban, A., Durgun, H., Aksoy, F., Turan, N., Dalcali, B., … & Şahin, A. (2022). Nursing students' perceptions of nursing diagnoses, critical thinking motivations, and problem-solving skills during distance learning: a multicentral study. International Journal of Nursing Knowledge, 33(4), 304-311. https://doi.org/10.1111/2047-3095.12362

Bensley, D., Crowe, D., Bernhardt, P., Buckner, C., & Allman, A. (2010). Teaching and assessing critical thinking skills for argument analysis in psychology. Teaching of Psychology, 37(2), 91-96. https://doi.org/10.1080/00986281003626656

Carter, A. (2019). Critical thinking., 64-76. https://doi.org/10.4324/9780429398179-8

Changwong, K., Sukkamart, A., & Sisan, B. (2018). Critical thinking skill development: analysis of a new learning management model for Thai high schools. Journal of International Studies, 11(2), 37-48. https://doi.org/10.14254/2071-8330.2018/11-2/3

Cone, C., Godwin, D., Salazar, K., Bond, R., Thompson, M., & Myers, O. (2016). Incorporation of an explicit critical-thinking curriculum to improve pharmacy students' critical-thinking skills. American Journal of Pharmaceutical Education, 80(3), 41. https://doi.org/10.5688/ajpe80341

Craig, S. (2010). Direct observation of clinical practice in emergency medicine education. Academic Emergency Medicine, 18(1), 60-67. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1553-2712.2010.00964.x

DeSimone, B. (2016). Curriculum design to promote the ethical decision-making competence of accelerated bachelor's degree nursing students. Sage Open, 6(1), 215824401663228. https://doi.org/10.1177/2158244016632285

Dupri, D., Risma, N., & Nazirun, N. (2020). Foster culture of critical thinking in physical education.. https://doi.org/10.2991/ahsr.k.200214.009

Dupri, D., Risma, N., & Nazirun, N. (2020). Foster culture of critical thinking in physical education.. https://doi.org/10.2991/ahsr.k.200214.009

Eberhart, J., Koepp, A., Howard, S., Kok, R., McCoy, D., & Baker, S. (2022). Advancing educational research on children's self-regulation with observational measures. Journal of Psychoeducational Assessment, 41(3), 267-282. https://doi.org/10.1177/07342829221143208

Firdaus, F., Kailani, I., Bakar, M., & Bakry, B. (2015). Developing critical thinking skills of students in mathematics learning. Journal of Education and Learning (Edulearn), 9(3), 226-236. https://doi.org/10.11591/edulearn.v9i3.1830

Friedel, C., Irani, T., Rhoades, E., Fuhrman, N., & Gallo, M. (2008). It's in the genes: exploring relationships between critical thinking and problem-solving in undergraduate agriscience students' solutions to problems in Mendelian genetics. Journal of Agricultural Education, 49(4), 25-37. https://doi.org/10.5032/jae.2008.04025

Guzey, S., Moore, T., & Harwell, M. (2016). Building up stem an analysis of teacher-developed engineering design-based stem integration curricular materials. Journal of Pre-College Engineering Education Research (J-Peer), 6(1). https://doi.org/10.7771/2157-9288.1129

Hayati, E., Purwanto, A., & Hidayat, D. (2023). Analysis of the cooperative learning effectiveness on students' critical thinking skills in science learning for primary students. Al-Ishlah Jurnal Pendidikan, 15(1), 1145-1153. https://doi.org/10.35445/alishlah.v15i1.994

Indrawatiningsih, N. (2018). Arguments in critical thinking ability. https://doi.org/10.2991/icomse-17.2018.4

Jenicek, M., Croskerry, P., & Hitchcock, D. (2011). Evidence and its uses in health care and research: the role of critical thinking. Medical Science Monitor, 17(1), RA12-RA17. https://doi.org/10.12659/msm.881321

Jones, N. and Brownell, M. (2013). Examining the use of classroom observations in the evaluation of special education teachers. Assessment for Effective Intervention, 39(2), 112-124. https://doi.org/10.1177/1534508413514103

Julian, R., Djumat, I., Taib, B., Sahidun, N., Wibowo, A., Sanjaya, F., … & Sancoko, S. (2020). The analysis and design of electronic student worksheets are based on the discovery of learning to improve critical thinking ability. Universal Journal of Educational Research, 8(12B), 8022-8033. https://doi.org/10.13189/ujer.2020.082603

Kamali, Z. and Fahim, M. (2011). The relationship between the critical thinking ability of Iranian EFL learners and their resilience level facing unfamiliar vocabulary items in reading. Journal of Language Teaching and Research, 2(1). https://doi.org/10.4304/jltr.2.1.104-111

Karahan, E., BOZAN, M., Akçay, A., & AKÇAY, İ. (2023). An investigation of primary school students' critical thinking dispositions and decision-making skills. International Journal of Educational Research Review, 8(2), 137-150. https://doi.org/10.24331/ijere.1205285

Kelleci, M., Yilmaz, F., & Aldemir, K. (2018). The effect of high fidelity simulation training on critical thinking and problem-solving skills in nursing students in Turkey. Educational Research in Medical Sciences, In Press(In Press). https://doi.org/10.5812/erms.83966

Knap-Stefaniuk, A. and Ambrozová, E. (2021). Critical thinking – the basic competency for leadership in the 21st century. Perspektywy Kultury, 33(2), 137-152. https://doi.org/10.35765/pk.2021.3302.10

Kogan, J., Holmboe, E., & Hauer, K. (2009). Tools for direct observation and assessment of clinical skills of medical trainees. Jama, 302(12), 1316. https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.2009.1365

Lee, C., McNeill, N., Douglas, E., Koro-Ljungberg, M., & Therriault, D. (2013). Indispensable resource? A phenomenological study of textbook use in engineering problem-solving. Journal of Engineering Education, 102(2), 269-288. https://doi.org/10.1002/jee.20011

Lee, D., Abdullah, K., Subramanian, P., Bachmann, R., & Ong, S. (2017). An integrated review of the correlation between critical thinking ability and clinical decision-making in nursing. Journal of Clinical Nursing, 26(23-24), 4065-4079. https://doi.org/10.1111/jocn.13901

Lestari, S., Agung, L., & Musadad, A. (2019). Android-based adventure games to enhance vocational high school students critical thinking skills.. https://doi.org/10.4108/eai.27-4-2019.2286917

Linina, I. and Vevere, V. (2021). Critical thinking as grounds of socially responsible communication. Environment Technology Resources Proceedings of the International Scientific and Practical Conference, 1, 149-154. https://doi.org/10.17770/etr2021vol1.6572

Myers, B. and Dyer, J. (2006). The influence of student learning style on critical thinking skill. Journal of Agricultural Education, 47(1), 43-52. https://doi.org/10.5032/jae.2006.01043

Özelçi, S. and Çalişkan, G. (2019). What is critical thinking? a longitudinal study with teacher candidates. International Journal of Evaluation and Research in Education (Ijere), 8(3), 495. https://doi.org/10.11591/ijere.v8i3.20254

Özgenel, M. (2018). Modeling the relationships between school administrators' creative and critical thinking dispositions with decision-making styles and problem-solving skills. Educational Sciences Theory & Practice, 18(3), 673–700. https://doi.org/10.12738/estp.2018.3.0068

Özyurt, Ö. (2015). Examining the critical thinking dispositions and the problem-solving skills of computer engineering students. Eurasia Journal of Mathematics Science and Technology Education, 11(2). https://doi.org/10.12973/eurasia.2015.1342a

Papathanasiou, I., Kleisiaris, C., Fradelos, E., Kakou, K., & Kourkouta, L. (2014). Critical thinking: the development of an essential skill for nursing students. Acta Informatica Medica, 22(4), 283. https://doi.org/10.5455/aim.2014.22.283-286

Pradana, Y. and Sundawa, D. (2022). Optimizing vocational civic education for the development of student character. Journal of Social Science (Joss), 1(4), 153-160. https://doi.org/10.57185/joss.v1i4.40

Pua, D., Peyton, D., Brownell, M., Contesse, V., & Jones, N. (2020). Preservice observation in special education: a validation study. Journal of Learning Disabilities, 54(1), 6-19. https://doi.org/10.1177/0022219420920382

Rahman, F., Jumani, N., Chaudry, M., & Chisti, S. (2010). Impact of metacognitive awareness on performance of students in chemistry. Contemporary Issues in Education Research (Cier), 3(10), 39. https://doi.org/10.19030/cier.v3i10.237

Raines, D. (2016). A collaborative strategy to bring evidence into practice. Worldviews on Evidence-Based Nursing, 13(3), 253-255. https://doi.org/10.1111/wvn.12155

Rashwan, T. (2016). Clinical decision making and critical thinking dispositions among students at faculty of nursing in port- said university. Port Said Scientific Journal of Nursing, 3(1), 116-137. https://doi.org/10.21608/pssjn.2019.32318

Reji, R. and Saini, S. (2022). Critical thinking and decision making: essential skills in nursing. International Journal of Research in Pharmaceutical Sciences, 13(1), 61-67. https://doi.org/10.26452/ijrps.v13i1.21

Rodgers, W., Morris-Mathews, H., Romig, J., & Bettini, E. (2021). Observation studies in special education: a synthesis of validity evidence for observation systems. Review of Educational Research, 92(1), 3-45. https://doi.org/10.3102/00346543211042419

Sadhu, S. and Laksono, E. (2018). Development and validation of an integrated assessment for measuring critical thinking and chemical literacy in chemical equilibrium. International Journal of Instruction, 11(3), 557-572. https://doi.org/10.12973/iji.2018.11338a

Sharif, M., Lee, M., & Rahman, A. (2021). Critical thinking and problem-solving skills comprehension level among vocational education undergraduates. Studies of Applied Economics, 39(10). https://doi.org/10.25115/eea.v39i10.5627

Shrivastava, S. and Shrivastava, P. (2019). Implementation of problem-oriented learning sessions in para-clinical years of medical college. Research and Development in Medical Education, 8(1), 38-40. https://doi.org/10.15171/rdme.2019.007

Sindani, V., Darvina, Y., Ramli, R., & Dewi, W. (2021). The effect of the implementation of worksheet-based problem solving on students' critical thinking skills in rotational dynamics and elasticity. Pillar of Physics Education, 13(4), 502. https://doi.org/10.24036/10144171074

Soicher, R. and Gurung, R. (2016). Do exam wrappers increase metacognition and performance? a single-course intervention. Psychology Learning & Teaching, 16(1), 64-73. https://doi.org/10.1177/1475725716661872

Squires, J., Estabrooks, C., Gustavsson, P., & Wallin, L. (2011). Individual determinants of research utilization by nurses: a systematic review update. Implementation Science, 6(1). https://doi.org/10.1186/1748-5908-6-1

Stowe, R. and Cooper, M. (2019). Arguing from spectroscopic evidence. Journal of Chemical Education, 96(10), 2072-2085. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jchemed.9b00550

Sun, J. (2021). Lecture-based, problem-based, digital problem-based and distance learning on knowledge improvement in medical education: a meta-analysis.. https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.05.26.445870

Susetyo, B., Siswaningsih, W., & Oktavi, F. (2021). Development of critical thinking test instruments with problem-solving context on the salt hydrolysis material. Jurnal Pendidikan Sains Indonesia, 9(2), 243-255. https://doi.org/10.24815/jpsi.v9i2.19862

Widjanarko, B., Indraswari, R., Handayani, N., & Kusumawati, A. (2023). Critical thinking and decision-making skills regarding reproductive health among pupils in central Java. International Journal of Public Health Science (Ijphs), 12(2), 583. https://doi.org/10.11591/ijphs.v12i2.22138

Wu, Y. (2020). A critical approach to critical thinking in developing argumentative essays. Destech Transactions on Social Science Education and Human Science, (icpcs). https://doi.org/10.12783/dtssehs/icpcs2020/33858

Wulansari, R. and Nabawi, R. (2021). Efforts to improve problem-solving skills and critical thinking skills through problem-based integrated computer-assisted instruction (cai) in vocational education. Jurnal Pendidikan Teknologi Kejuruan, 4(4), 111-117. https://doi.org/10.24036/jptk.v4i2.21123